UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A BRILICATION NO	CH DIC DATE	CIDOTALA MED DAMENTOD	ATTONNEY DOGUETAIO	CONTRIBUTANTONING	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,599	07/03/2003	Yubo Miao	IME03-002	7269	
STEPHEN B. A	7590 06/04/2007 ACKERMAN		EXAMINER		
28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			BEISNER, WILLIAM H		
POUGHKEEP	SIE, NY 12003		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/613,599	MIAO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		William H. Beisner	1744		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
Period for	• •		TI (() () TI II DT (()) D A ()		
WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS 1, cause the application to become ABANDO	PION. The timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	larch 2007.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	•		
5) 🔲	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,			
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)□ ⊓	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)[] 1	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*		
11)[[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	, ,			
,	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	aived in this National Stage		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eived.		
		·			
Attachment					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma			
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Inform			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1a and 1b should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: While original claim 4 ended with a period. The current version of original claim 4 now ends with ">". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

Page 3

invention.

Independent claims 1, 7 and 14 all include the newly recited claim language "at least one micro-channel being connected to each of said depressions". While the originally filed specification discloses a multi-chamber chip device that includes micro-channels (22) being connected to the sample chambers or depressions (21), the originally filed disclosure fails to disclose "at least one micro-channel being connected to each of said depressions (61)" of the mold (62) used to form the chip device. Applicants' response filed 3/9/2007 does not point out where support for this new claim limitation can be found. As a result, the originally filed disclosure fails to adequately convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that at the time of filing the invention, that a mold device with at least one micro-channel being connected to each of the depressions of the mold was considered to be applicants' invention. Claims 2-6, 8-13 and 15-20 are also rejected based on their dependencies from claims 1, 7 or 14.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-11, of the response filed 3/9/2007, with respect to the 5. rejection of Claims 1-12 and 14-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tretiakov et al.(US 6,556,940) in view of either Yoshida et al.(JP 04-8513) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 1-12 and 14-19 has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,599 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-11, of the response filed 3/9/2007, with respect to the rejection of Claims 13 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tretiakov et al.(US 6,556,940) in view of Yoshida et al.(JP 04-8513) taken further in view of Lund et al.(US 6,558,947) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 13 and 20 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Beisner whose telephone number is 571-272-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:15am to 3:45pm.

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys J. Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Beisner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

WHB